1 2 3	Charles P. Maher, State Bar No. 124748 LUCE, FORWARD, HAMILTON & SCRIPPS LLP Rincon Center II, 121 Spear Street, Suite 200 San Francisco, California 94105-1582 Telephone No.: 415.356.4600 Fax No.: 415.356.4610											
4	E-mail: cmaher@luce.com											
5	Counsel for Mohamed Poonja											
6	Trustee in Bankruptcy											
7												
8	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT											
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA											
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION											
11	In re FIRST FINANCIAL LENDER,	Case No. 10-55096 SLJ Chapter 7										
12	Debtor.											
13	_ *****											
14	MOHAMED POONJA, Trustee,	Adversary Proceeding										
15	Plaintiff.	No.										
16	V.											
17	TERI H. NGUYEN and VINH NGUYEN,											
18	Defendants.											
19	Defendants.											
20	COMPLAINT FOR AVOIDANCE AND DE	COVEDV OF EDAIIDH ENT TO ANGEEDS										
21	COMPLAINT FOR AVOIDANCE AND RECOVERY OF FRAUDULENT TRANSFERS											
22	Plaintiff Mohamed Poonja, Trustee in Bankruptcy of the estate of the above Debtor,											
23	alleges as follows:											
24	1. On May 14, 2010, the above Debtor filed a voluntary petition for relief under											
25	Chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code. Plaintiff was appointed Trustee in Bankruptcy of the Debtor's											
26	estate upon the filing of the Chapter 7 petition.											
27	2. This is an adversary proceeding for avoidance and recovery of fraudulent transfers.											
	1											

se: 11-05169 Doc# 1 Filed: 05/27/11 Entered: 05/27/11 15:39:06 Page 1 of 5

- 3. The Court has jurisdiction over this adversary proceeding under 11 U.S.C. §§ 151 and 157(b)(2)(A), (H), and (O).
 - 4. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1409.
- 5. Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendant Teri H. Nguyen is an individual who is a resident of California and the wife of Defendant Vinh Nguyen.
- 6. Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendant Vinh Nguyen is an individual who is a resident of California and the husband of Defendant Teri H. Nguyen.
- 7. The Defendants were officers of the Debtor, are insiders of the Debtor as that term if defined by 11 U.S.C. § 101(31), and directed all of the Debtor's affairs.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 8. Plaintiff is informed and believes that, in November 2004, the Debtor entered into a loan purchase agreement with Lehman Brothers Bank, FSB, governing the purchase by Lehman Brothers Bank of loans from the Debtor.
- 9. Plaintiff is informed and believes that, as part of the agreement, the Debtor was obligated to repurchase loans that went into default on the written demand of Lehman Brothers Bank or its agent.
- 10. Plaintiff is informed and believes that among the loans sold by the Debtor to Lehman Brothers Bank were two loans to Vicente Gaytan.
- 11. Plaintiff is informed and believes that Mr. Gaytan went into default on both loans in or about 2006.
- 12. Plaintiff is informed and believes that by letter dated September 19, 2006, and letter dated August 14, 2007, Aurora Loan Services, as agent of Lehman Brothers Bank, made written demand that the Debtor fulfill its "obligations pursuant to the agreement and seller's guide and repurchase [each of the two loans] within 30 days of the date [of the letter]."
- 13. Plaintiff is informed and believes that the aggregate repurchase price for the two loans was \$752,654.
- 14. The Debtor did not repurchase either loan, and as of December 31, 2007, the Debtor was indebted to Lehman Brothers Bank in the amount of at least \$752,654 which sum was

se: 11-05169 Doc# 1 Filed: 05/27/11 Entered: 05/27/11 15:39:06 Page 3 of 5

27

28

incorporates them by reference.

24.	The	Tran	sfer	to	the I	Defen	dants	was a	ı trar	sfer	of a	ın i	intere	est	of the	Debto	r in
property and	was	made	on	or	within	two	years	befo	re the	e dat	e on	wł	nich	the	Debtor	filed	her
Chapter 7 pet	ition.																

25. The Debtor received less than a reasonably equivalent value in exchange for the Transfer, and was insolvent on the date of the Transfer or became insolvent as a result of the Transfer, or the Debtor was engaged in business or a transaction or was about to engage in a business or a transaction for which any property of the Debtor remaining was an unreasonably small capital, or the Debtor intended to incur or believed that she would incur debts that would be beyond her ability to pay as such debts matured.

WHEREFORE Plaintiff requests judgment as set forth below.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF Avoidance of Fraudulent Transfers 11 U.S.C. § 544(b) and Cal. Civ. Code § 3934.04 et seq.

- 26. Plaintiff realleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 19 above and incorporates them by reference.
- 27. Plaintiff alleges that the Transfer was a transfer of an interest of the Debtor in property and was made within four years of the date on which the Debtor filed its Chapter 7 petition.
- 28. Plaintiff alleges that the Debtor made the transfer and incurred obligations relating to those transfers with actual intent to hinder, delay, or defraud any creditor of the Debtor.

WHEREFORE Plaintiff requests judgment as set forth below.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF Avoidance of Fraudulent Transfers 11 U.S.C. § 544(b) and Cal. Civ. Code §§ 3934.04 et seq.

- 29. Plaintiff realleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 19 above and incorporates them by reference.
- 30. Plaintiff alleges that the Transfer was a transfer of an interest of the Debtor in property and was made within four years of the date on which the Debtor filed its Chapter 7 petition.

- 31. Plaintiff alleges that the Debtor received less than a reasonably equivalent value in exchange for the transfer.
- 32. Plaintiff alleges that the Debtor was insolvent on the dates on which the transfer or transfers were made or became insolvent as a result of the transfer or transfers, or was engaged in the business or transaction or was about to engage in a business or transaction for which any property remaining with the Debtor was unresonably small capital.

WHEREFORE Plaintiff requests judgment as set forth below.

REQUEST FOR RELIEF

Plaintiff requests judgment as follows:

- A. On Plaintiff's first and second claims for relief, for judgment avoiding the Transfer under 11 U.S.C. § 548(a) and 11 U.S.C. § 550 and for preservation of the Transfer for the benefit of the estate under 11 U.S.C. § 551.
- B. On the third and fourth claims for relief, for a judgment against the Defendants avoiding the Transfer under 11 U.S.C. § 544 and 550, and California Civil Code Sections 3439 et seq., and preserving those transfers for the benefit of the estate under 11 U.S.C. § 551.
- C. On the first, second, third, and fourth claims for relief, for a money judgment against Teri H. Nguyen and Vinh Nguyen jointly and severally in the amount of \$489,233.
- D. For prejudgment interest on any money judgment beginning on the date the bankruptcy petition was filed.
 - E. For such other relief the Court may deem appropriate.

DATED: May 2, 2011

LUCE, FORWARD, HAMILTON & SCRIPPS LLP

Bv:

Charles P. Maher

Counsel for Mohamed Poonja, Trustee

301257288.1